

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 01/07/2005

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/814,628	04/01/2004	Otto Richard Eppinger	21854-00040-US	7856	
30678	7590 01/07/2005		EXAMINER		
001111022	LY BOVE LODGE &	EICKHOLT, EUGENE H			
SUITE 800 1990 M STF	REET NW		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHINGTON, DC 20036-3425			2854		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application	1 No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/814,628	3	EPPINGER, OTTO RICHARD				
Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit				
	Eugene H E		2854				
The MAILING DATE of this communicated for Reply	ation appears on the	cover sheet with the	correspondence addre	ss			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commun.  - If the period for reply specified above, the maximum statut.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will Any reply received by the Office later than three months after earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATION. 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no even ication. lays, a reply within the statute ory period will apply and will I, by statute, cause the applic.	ot, however, may a reply be to ory minimum of thirty (30) do expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the catton to become ABANDON	imely filed  ays will be considered timely.  m the mailing date of this comm  IED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	iunication.			
Status							
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition fo							
Disposition of Claims							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☑ Claim(s) <u>1-5</u> is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-5</u> is/are rejected.						
Application Papers	•						
9) The specification is objected to by the 110. The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a Applicant may not request that any objection Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the 11. The oath or declaration is objected to be	a) accepted or b) con to the drawing(s) be ne correction is require	e held in abeyance. S d if the drawing(s) is c	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). objected to. See 37 CFR				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) ⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ⊠ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☑ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO-1449 or	TO/SB/08) ^	4) Interview Summa Paper No(s)/Mail 5) Notice of Informat 6) Other:		52)			

Application/Control Number: 10/814,628

Art Unit: 2854

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Martin et al.

The fixed platen 28 reads on the pallet arm. See col. 2, liens 29-31 concerning having the die plate 34 mounted thereon. Upper platen 20 and lower platen 22 read on the platens. These platens are above and below the platen 28. A main cylinder 12 causes vertical reciprocation of the platens 20, 22 which reads on claim 5. See col. 2, lines 15-21. The tie rods, shouldered nuts and spacer sleeves and plates of claim 3 read on the frame. Modular frame 26 reads on the support. See col. 2, lines 23-24 and fig. 3. The logic valve 82 reads on the control means. See col. 3, lines 41-46.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 2 and 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Martin et al in view of Bradford.

Martin et al does not disclose heating his upper platen. This is common in the art as evidenced by Bradford who electrically heats the tools 24, 28 on the upper and lower platens. See also the heating of platens taught at col. 6 lines 24-25. It would have

Application/Control Number: 10/814,628 Page 3

Art Unit: 2854

been obvious to use electronic heat to heat the platens 20, 22 of Martin et al.

Motivation would be the reduction of water in the mold workpieces taught by Bradford at col. 4, lines 46-56. The explanation of the rejection of claim 1 is referred to in regards the other elements of claim 2. Cylinder 12 reads on claim 4.

Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the art cited above as applied to claim 2 above, and further in view of Amacker.

Martin et al does not have a C style frame. Amacker shows such in fig. 1 as frame 1. It would have been obvious to modify Martin et al to have a C-frame as such permits ready access for the operator of the platen press from 3 of the 4 press sides.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

A shortened statutory period of 3 months is set to respond.

Eickholt/ds

01/05/05

PRIMARY EXAMINER

Any inquiry concerning the specifics of this communication should be directed to Examiner Eickholt, who can be reached Tuesday through Thursday. Inquiries of a general nature should be directed to the TC2800 receptionist.